

SET4HEI Self-assessment User Guide

(v. March 2025)



Published in 2025 by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 7, place de Fontenoy, 75352 Paris 07 SP, France and the UNESCO International Institute for Higher Education in Latin America and the Caribbean (IESALC). Edificio Asovincar, 1062-A. Av. Los Chorros con Calle Acueducto, Altos de Sebucán. Caracas, Venezuela.

© UNESCO 2025



This publication is available in Open Access under the Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 IGO (CC-BY-SA 4.0 IGO) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/deed.en). By using the content of this pub-lication, the users accept to be bound by the terms of use of the UNESCO Open Access Repository (https://www.unesco.org/es/open-access/cc-sa).

The designations employed and the presentation of material throughout this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of UNESCO concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries. The ideas and opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors; they are not necessarily those of UNESCO and do not commit the Organization.

Authors:

Jaime Roser-Chinchilla. Policy Analyst, UNESCO IESALC Dr. Victoria Galán-Muros. Chief of Research and Analysis, UNESCO IESALC

Acknowledgements:

Carlos Vicente, Technical manager, Campus IESALC Dr. Francesc Pedró. Director, UNESCO IESALC

For more information, please contact: info-IESALC@unesco.org +582122861020 www.iesalc.unesco.org



AboutSET4HEI

SET4HEI (<u>Sustainability Evaluation Tool for Higher Education Institutions</u>) is a free, online and open resource that helps you, as a leader of a higher education institution (HEI), identify areas where your institution is contributing or could contribute to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

The SET4HEI assessments and the SET4HEI website were created by the UNESCO International Institute for Higher Education (<u>UNESCO IESALC</u>) in collaboration with other UN agencies and experts. The platform that hosts the tool, Campus IESALC, is administered by UNESCO IESALC.

About this guide

This user guide provides all the information needed to understand how to use the SET4HEI self-assessments. This guide does <u>not</u> describe the process for the SET4HEI certification process, for which there is a separate dedicated guide.

This guide is also available in Spanish and French, and will be available in Arabic throughout 2025.

Quick step-by-step guide to the self-assessments

- 1. Access the SET4HEI website in https://set4hei.org
- 2. Go to the <u>self-assessments</u> section on the menu, where you can access the 18 assessments or use the link for to access as registered user. In either case, you will be redirected to <u>Campus IESALC</u>, the platform hosting the SET4HEI assessments.
- 3. You can choose assessments for each of the 17 SDGs as well as one assessment with basic good practices related to all SDGs.
- 4. Answer each of the questions (they are all compulsory) for each of the 4 areas (Teaching and Learning, Research, Engagement, Governance and administration).
- 5. Submit your results.
- 6. Check your (immediately available) results.
- 7. Download your results as PDF. Only registered users will be able to see their results again after closing the browser.





The SET4HEI self-assessments: general structure























SET4HEI currently comprises 18 self-assessment questionnaires, one per each of the 17 SDGs and a shorter introductory assessment on basic good practices across SDGs. They can be accessed in the SET4HEI website.

Users can choose which SDG they want to fill in separately. All assessments measure the contribution of higher education institutions to across four areas:

- Teaching and Learning
- Research
- Engagement
- Governance and administration

The indicators of each self-assessment are displayed in four separate pages coinciding with these four areas.

These areas represent the three missions of higher education institutions, plus a fourth area comprising other relevant practices, including governance, management, human resources, administration, campus management, and other non-academic services (e.g. campus restaurants, public transportation).

In the second section (research), a filter question allows institutions that do not conduct research activities (because it is not in their institutional mandate) not to complete this section, automatically marking all its indicators as 'not applicable' and thus not including them in the calculation of the overall assessment score.

Introductory information

Once you enter each assessment, you will first find two information pages.

The first one provides some examples of areas where higher education institutions can have an impact on the corresponding SDG. This is intended as illustrative and will not mention all the aspects covered by the indicators within that assessment.

The second page will provide a practical summary on how to fill in the assessment from a technical point of view, but in much less detail than this user guide.





The SET4HEI indicators
























Each indicator is phrased as, either:

1. A good practice that the HEI is either implementing fully, partially or not at all. Alternatively, the full score is granted if the HEI has multiple examples of that good practice, and the partial score is used for a lower number of examples. These indicators require the user to select an option from a single-choice list, typically offering two to four options, which can be different for each indicator.


Examples:



2. A percentage. The user will select it using a slide bar.

Most indicators have an additional explanatory text providing clarification of concepts as well as examples. This text can be deployed by clicking on the **question mark icon** once the assessment is open.

Examples:



45 %





Anonymous and Registered Modes for Access























The indicators for the SET4HEI self-assessment and the SET4HEI certification are the same, and are scored in the same way.

The self-assessments can be done either as a registered user or anonymously (without registration). They are both free.

Anonymous self-assessments

The non-registered (or anonymous) mode of self-assessment can be used to explore the SET4HEI indicators and to get familiar with how they work.

To start on anonymous mode, you can directly click on the assessment of your choice in the <u>catalogue</u> displayed on the SET4HEI website.

In anonymous mode, you will not be able to save the assessment results, though you can download them in a pdf file before you close your browser.

Self-assessments for registered users

If you want to save your progress or see the results of past self-assessments (in the "My Assessments" section), you can register for free and then access the self-assessments catalogue for registered users. If you are not already registered, the system will give you the option when you open any of these assessments. Note that assessments need to be accessed through that catalogue for the system to recognize your user (not the catalogue displayed in the main SET4HEI website, which is the anonymous mode one).





Advancing through the assessment
























You need to provide an answer for every question to be able to continue to the next page. After you fill in the fourth section, the button 'Finish' will allow you to access the results. Before you click 'Finish' you can always return and change your answers using the buttons 'Previous' and 'Next' at the bottom of the page.

In the registered user mode, your answers are automatically saved each time you advance to the next section. If you want to save them before that, you can use the 'Save questions' button.

After you click 'Finish' you will no longer be able to change your answers.







Scoring of answers: the basics























Each indicator can grant a maximum of one point. The final score is expressed as a percentage of the maximum possible score for each assessment. All indicators have the same weight in this calculation.

Most indicators require you to choose one of a short list of possible answers. In these, the answers will be always displayed from the one that provides the lowest score to the one that provides the highest.

The indicators that require a percentage as an answer will explain their own scoring system in the detailed explanation (click on the black question mark icon to display it).

Some indicators offer the option to answer 'Not applicable', in which case that indicator will not be considered for the calculation of the final score.

In many indicators, you will find an explanation of what the 'Not applicable' option consists of or how the intermediate reply options are scored by clicking on the question mark icon.





Free-text boxes























Each indicator is accompanied by a **free text box** for your own notes. The text box is completely optional and does not affect your score.

It is recommended that you use it to note down the references (url, name of document, point of contact) that justify your answer to each indicator. Noting down this information while you fill in the questionnaire can save you time when you access it again and can also be useful if you are sharing the information with colleagues, or for later reusing this information during the certification process.

Attached files during the certification process

Users undergoing the certification process will see, under each indicator, an additional option (not shown within the self-evaluation modes) to upload files that provide evidence to support the selected answers. In this case, the free-text boxes can be used to explain how does the attached file relate to the selected answer, or in which part of that document the connection can be found.





Visualizing and saving the results
























After you complete the last section, you results are shown, including the score for each one of the four areas (teaching, research, engagement, and governance and administration). You will also be able to download a pdf summary of your results, including the complete list of questions with your answers.

Both the Global Result and the scores for each of the four areas are calculated as a percentage of the maximum possible score (for the whole assessment, or for the corresponding area). The maximum score is therefore always 100, regardless of the number of indicators in each area.

If you are a registered user, you can always return to this assessment in the 'My Assessments' section and see these results again.



Global Result:

Percent: 60.57

Download results in PDF





General methodology























The SET4HEI indicators are based on a common methodology, based on the following principles:

Clear, transparent, simple.

Indicators should be self-explanatory, with the support of the additional explanatory textbox when concepts require further clarifications. The use of indicators with closed lists of available answers allows for the automatic and immediate display of the scores upon completion.

Aimed at supporting improvements, not ranking institutions.

The goal of SET4HEI is to allow every HEI to improve their sustainability impact, independently from their starting point, not to find out which one is having a bigger impact. Therefore, the scores of each user are independent from one another, with no indicator assigning scores based on the average, or the normal distribution, from all other users. This means that every institution can, in principle, reach the maximum score regardless of whether other institutions also improve theirs. The scores will never be used to inform a ranking of HEIs.

Standardized, when possible, without duplicating questions.

Many indicators share a common structure or part of their wording across several SDGs to facilitate completion, but they are not identical. Two or more indicators may emphasize different aspects of a similar good practice.

As a methodological choice, it is accepted that if one good practice can have a positive impact on several SDGs, then it is justified that it contributes to the score of several SDGs. By their very multifaceted nature, several SDGs are closely related. Some aspects of HEIs operations can contribute to several SDGs at the same time, e.g., free tuition fees can support access to higher education (SDG 4), provide opportunities for low-income students (SDG 1), and reduce discrimination by economic status (SDG 10.2).

Aimed at global relevance.

The good practices on which the indicators are based have been selected from across the world, representing countries with different levels of development, and HEIs of a wide range of size and reputation. While some good practices may be more widespread in some countries, SET4HEI indicators are designed independent of the institution size, budget, or publication metrics. Furthermore, users can choose to assess only the SDGs that they consider most relevant for their HEI.





Scoring methodology























Basic calculation formula

Independently from the formulation and the number of available answers, each indicator will be scored from 0 to 1 point.

Once the replies for all indicators have been selected, the scores are calculated automatically. The scores for the self-assessment are expressed as a percentage of the maximum number of points possible. Each indicator has the same weight within one SDG. Not all SDGs have the same number of indicators, but each SDG has the same weight within the 17 SDGs.

'Not applicable' replies

Some indicators can be answered as 'Not Applicable', and thus excluded from the score calculation (as if that indicator did not exist).

'Not applicable' is typically offered as an answer when the HEI cannot fulfill the requirement of the indicator to no fault of their own, or no value would be added if they did. For example, when the good or service that the indicator is asking about is already offered by the government. In this case, HEI do not receive extra points, or are penalized for something beyond their control.

Institutions that do not have a physical campus (and only offer distance learning) can mark as 'Not applicable' many of the indicators that relate to life and services on campus, or infrastructure management.

If your institution does not have a research mission, all the indicators in the Research section of the assessment will be marked as 'Not applicable' and will not be included in the calculation of the final score. In this case, the research section will not appear in the final results.

Likewise, the 'Not applicable' option is also offered to avoid double penalization for elements that are already considered in a previous indicator. For example, if one indicator asks about whether the HEI provides a certain type of program and this is not the case, that HEI can later select 'Not applicable' if another indicator asks for further details of that program (e.g. its curricula).





Scoring methodology























Average scores are not shared and do not affect your score

The self-assessment is designed so that any institution can, potentially, reach the maximum score, since this depends exclusively on their own actions. This is, the scores do not change based on what other users have replied, as ranking-oriented methodologies often do.

The self-assessment is intended as a practical tool for improving every institution's sustainability impact. Therefore, it is designed so that most institutions can get some points, some institutions can get most points and almost none can get all points. If too many institutions are nearing the maximum score, that would be a sign that the indicators are not demanding enough, and that the bar should be raised for future editions of the questionnaire. Likewise, if too many institutions were obtaining very low scores, the methodology would be reviewed once a year so that the indicators recognize smaller steps in the right direction and basic enabling policies.



























General recommendations based on your score

While the interpretation of your results from each SDG needs to be contextualized based on the specific situation of your HEI, there are some common recommendations that can be helpful to most HEIs within a particular assessment score range. This section provides such general recommendations in four categories.

Your institution might be at different categories for different SDGs, so recommendations from different score categories might apply to the same institution in different areas of their operations. The four categories can also provide you with an approximation of the different stages that a HEI might undergo from starting to address sustainability issues, to becoming a champion of sustainable development impact.

Tailored recommendations

If you are interested in **recommendations tailored to your institution**'s particular needs and context, or if you want to know more about the certification process, please reach out to us through our <u>Contact form</u>. UNESCO IESALC offers **technical cooperation services**, at a cost, aimed at maximizing HEI sustainability impact. Technical cooperation services may be requested by individual HEIs, HEIs networks and associations, governments and quality assurance agencies in any country worldwide.



























Category 4 – Scores of 0-30 points (out of 100 possible)

Based on your score, your institution still has many opportunities for improvement in its contribution to this Sustainable Development Goal (SDG). Your interest in undertaking this assessment is already a good sign of your commitment to a greater SDG impact. Here you can find some general recommendations for HEIs starting their sustainability journey.

- If you have not done it yet, you may be interested in undertaking the 'Basic practices across all SDGs' assessment, whose indicators relate to some of the following recommendations.
- Your HEI may benefit from first **raising awareness** amongst students and staff about the importance of this SDG, and how it can be linked to the local context. This can include initiatives such as dissemination campaigns, events, or introductory courses, helping to introduce this topic into the academic and institutional discussions.
- If your institution does not yet have the resources to open specialized education **programs** that relate to this SDG, you could begin by providing some specialized **courses** within existing programs, integrating a sustainability perspective in them, or addressing it within its **lifelong learning** courses catalogue (e.g. MOOCs).
- If your institution has a research mandate, you can start incentivizing its
 current researchers to launch research projects that relate to this SDG,
 gradually building in-house expertise that can later facilitate the creation
 of specialized PhD programs, and eventually attract funding for more
 permanent research lines or centers.
- Even if your institution does not yet have enough resources to maintain ambitious engagement initiatives, it can still promote volunteering activities within its students and staff, possibly in partnership with external organizations and networks with the necessary expertise.
- Regarding the institution's internal management and operations, a useful starting point is to integrate this SDG, and sustainable development more broadly, into the institution's strategy and planning documents. While needs and resources are context-specific, HEI leaders should publicly support this integration to ensure a clear mandate for downstream actions. Within these planning documents, institutions should establish clear SDG-related goals and individual responsibilities for their monitoring and implementation.



























Category 3 – Score 30-60

Based on your score, your institution has already moved past the most basic good practices, and it is now able to consider more ambitious initiatives and policies. Here are some general recommendations for institutions at this stage:

- Complement the filling out of this assessment with a wider consultation of students and staff regarding existing sustainability initiatives that may not be widely known within the institution, as well as new ideas for improvement.
- Engaging with students can be particularly important, as they can be
 powerful advocates for new sustainability measures, maintaining these
 demands within the agenda of all internal stakeholders. Students can also be
 passionate contributors to community engagement and sustainabilityrelated research initiatives.
- If some of the good practices already in place were launched as pilots or one-time initiatives, consider the possibility of rolling-out those practices throughout the institution (e.g., to other faculties or departments) or integrating them in the annual cycle of recurrent activities.
- At this point, it is possible that your HEI has already implemented, or is implementing, more straight-forward measures with clear scope and steps. However, some of the indicators in SET4HEI are phrased in broader terms, to allow for a more flexible interpretation based on each context. Seeking real-life examples of how other HEIs with a similar context have deployed these good practices can help achieve a higher impact. To do so, you may reach out to HEIs' associations and networks which sometimes provide peer support schemes and mutual capacity building to their members. You can also consult some of the complementary materials available in the SET4HEI website, reach out to us for more information on our capacity building services, and search for other country or region-specific resources.



























Category 2 - Score 60-85

Based on your score, your HEI is at an intermediate level in its contribution to this SDG. Some general recommendations for HEIs at this stage are:

- Your HEI should start considering a more systematic approach to the
 implementation of these good practices. Moving from occasional activities
 and events to recurrent ones, from a limited range of SDG-relevant research
 initiatives, programs and courses to a wider spectrum. Based on the specific
 needs and resources of your institution, its leader(s) should set up a clear set
 of benchmarks, deciding which practices will be pursued and which ones are
 considered out of scope for now.
- Your HEI might be already implementing many good practices that require limited additional budget (e.g. changing internal policies or promoting volunteering). At this point, aim to set up a funding strategy for reaching the next level of sustainability impact. Funding strategies can include action such as applying to competitive research grants, establishing private-public partnerships, reallocating internal resources based on sustainability criteria, partnering with governments and foundations that can provide additional funding for the HEI to deliver extended services to the community or its more vulnerable students, providing professional services to external stakeholders for a fee, such as consultancies or sponsored research, etc. These additional funding sources can not only make possible new SDG-oriented initiatives and research but can also allow institutions to subsidize goods and services for low-income students and the local community, as well as sustainability-oriented investments.
- If you have reached this score in a self-assessment, you may now consider undergoing the certification process. An external validation of the answers that you provided to each indicator can help you identify if your interpretation of the questions was correct. The external validation can also provide you with an objective assessment of your HEI's performance, compensating some of the common limitations of self-reported information gathered from multiple offices or departments. The certification process, particularly when undertaken periodically, can create a higher internal pressure for continuous improvement, as well as providing the additional incentive of a digital badge provided by UNESCO that the HEI can use in its communication materials and positions it as a forerunner in the contribution to a specific SDG.



























Category 1 – Score 85-100

Congratulations! Your HEI is on a good track. However, you can always further improve its contribution to the SDGs, adopting a role as champion in this SDG. Here you can find some general recommendations based on your score:

- Consider undertaking the assessment for **other SDGs**. If you have assessed an environment-related SDG, try now a social or economic-oriented one, or vice versa. Each HEI has its areas of strength and expertise but reaching at least a basic level in all SDGs can be as important, if not more, as excelling in a few. This is particularly important when the HEI is the only one, or has a prominent place, in its local or regional community. **Leading by example**, your HEI can multiply its impact, raising the bar for other HEIs, and showing the way to other organizations beyond HEIs.
- The SET4HEI indicators are mostly based on the use or absence of specific good practices, and other **inputs** of the HEIs' operations. However, it is each HEI's responsibility to **monitor** the real **output** of these practices, the extent of their implementation, and ultimately to measure their true **impact**, as well as setting up plans for their long-term continuation.
- Sustainable practices are always at risk of being gradually sidelined
 whenever the leaders and professionals that oversaw their original
 implementation are no longer involved in their continued upholding. It is
 therefore crucial that these practices become systematized and
 institutionalized through official policies and regulations, long-term
 strategic and planning documents, the clear assignment of operational
 accountability and responsibilities, and the adoption of these ideas within
 the institutional culture.
- If you have reached this score as part of a self- assessment, you may now consider undergoing the <u>certification process</u>. An external validation of the answers that you provided to each indicator can help you identify if your interpretation of the questions was correct. The external validation can also provide you with an objective assessment of your HEl's performance, compensating some of the common limitations of self-reported information gathered from multiple offices or departments. The certification process, particularly when undertaken periodically, can create a higher internal pressure for continuous improvement, as well as providing the additional incentive of a digital badge provided by UNESCO that the HEI can use in its communication materials and positions it as a forerunner in the contribution to a specific SDG.





Privacy and use of data























All data provided within Campus IESALC, the platform that hosts the SDG self-assessment tool, will be kept private and will never be shared with third parties.

The email provided when registering will not be used for communications with the user without their express consent. The replies to the self-assessment will never be shared with third parties either. The user retains the right to modify or delete their data within the platform at any moment. You can check the Campus IESALC <u>privacy statement</u> for more details.

Aggregated anonymized statistical data from all registered users, such as represented countries or average scores may be published.

For users interested in undergoing the <u>certification</u> process, their replies to the self-assessment will be shared with reviewers as part of the validation steps. More information about this process will be made available in the Certification Guidelines.

For any question or query regarding data privacy, please reach out to us using the Contact Form.







SET4HEI Self-assessment User Guide

